PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NIHA-0177	**FOR FURTHER ACTION**	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/US2004/025560	International filing date *(day/month/year)* 05.08.2004	Priority date *(day/month/year)* 07.08.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC
C12N15/63, C07K14/705, C07K16/28, G01N33/50, A61K48/00

Applicant
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA...

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:
   a. ☐ *sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau)* a total of sheets, as follows:
      ☐ sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).
      ☐ sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.
   b. ☐ *(sent to the International Bureau only)* a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).

4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

☒	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
☐	Box No. II	Priority
☒	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
☐	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
☒	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
☐	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
☐	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
☐	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
07.06.2005	29.07.2005
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Vollbach, S Telephone No. +49 89 2399-

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/US2004/025560

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

   ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
   - ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
   - ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
   - ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the **elements*** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:

Description, Pages

1-135 as originally filed

Sequence listings part of the description, Pages

136-139 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-63 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/30-30/30 as originally filed

☒ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing

3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
   - ☐ the description, pages
   - ☐ the claims, Nos.
   - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
   - ☐ the sequence listing *(specify)*:
   - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing *(specify)*:

4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
   - ☐ the description, pages
   - ☐ the claims, Nos.
   - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
   - ☐ the sequence listing *(specify)*:
   - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing *(specify)*:

* *If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."*

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application,

☒ claims Nos. 55-63

because:

☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 55-63 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

☐ the description, claims or drawings *(indicate particular elements below)* or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed *(specify)*:

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.

☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form	☐	has not been furnished
	☐	does not comply with the standard
the computer readable form	☐	has not been furnished
	☐	does not comply with the standard

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See separate sheet for further details

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-25, 27-42
	No:	Claims	43-63
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	
	No:	Claims	1-63
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-54
	No:	Claims	55-63

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Supplemental Box relating to Sequence Listing

Continuation of Box I, item 2:

1. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report has been established on the basis of:

   a. type of material:

   ☒ a sequence listing

   ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

   b. format of material:

   ☒ in written format

   ☒ in computer readable form

   c. time of filing/furnishing:

   ☒ contained in the international application as filed

   ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form

   ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination

   ☐ received by this Authority as an amendment on

2. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: WO 01/07628 A (INCYTE GENOMICS, INC; TANG, Y., TOM; HILLMAN, JENNIFER, L; BANDMAN, OL) 1 February 2001 (2001-02-01)

D2: ALBERDI E ET AL: "BINDING OF PIGMENT EPITHELIUM-DERIVED FACTOR (PEDF) TO RETINOBLASTOMA CELLS AND CEREBELLAR GRANULE NEURONS" JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTS, BALTIMORE, MD, US, vol. 274, no. 44, 1999, pages 31605-31612, XP001023972 ISSN: 0021-9258

The present application relates to PEDF-receptor molecules and the DNA sequences coding therefore. The claims cover human, rat and mouse PEDF-R related products, and their application.

D1 discloses nucleic acid and amino acid sequences which are almost identical with the amino acid sequences claimed in the present application. In particular, Seq. ID No. 1 (human cDNA) is identical in 99.842 % with the sequence ID No. 24, Seq. 12 (mouse cDNA) is identical in 77.1% and Seq. 15 (rat cDNA) shares 83,4% identity. 100% identity could be found between Seq. Id No. 9 and Seq. ID No. 3 (human protein). High homology to mouse and rat amino acid sequences are respective. Due to the fact that the scope of most of the claims extends far beyond the specific sequence, the product claims 1-25 and 27-42 lack novelty as required by Article 33(2) PCT. This objection applies although D1 does not disclose that the sequence encodes the PEDF-receptor.

As far as an inventive step is concerned reference is made to D2. D2 concerns the identification of the PEDF receptor and its isolation. The physiological role of the receptor as a neurotrophic receptor is also disclosed. The difference vis à vis the disclosure of the present application relates to the cloning of said receptor. However, the present authority cannot recognize any inventive merit in the provision of the DNA sequence and the

recombinant PEDF receptor. Starting from the knowledge of D2, a person skilled in art would arrive at the claimed subject-matter by applying standard techniques. Therefore none of the claims can be considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT.

For the assessment of the present claims 55 - 63 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.